

Compliance Report

Montgomery County, Texas

For the Year Ended September 30, 2008

**MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards.....	1
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	4
Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	5
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	6
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards.....	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs – Federal Programs	10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs – State Programs	13

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

Project Number	Federal Grantor	Federal CFDA #	Grant Funds Expended	Pass-Through Amount to Subrecipients
U S DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				
Passed Through State Division of Emergency Management:				
2003-UAS1-11-48339	Urban Area Security Initiative-PartII/2003	97.008	\$ 55,019	\$ -
08TX-EMPG-0469	State and Local Assistance	97.042	33,085	-
2007-GE-T7-0024	State Homeland Security Program-2007	97.073	169,329	-
2006-GE-T6-0068	State Homeland Security Program-2006	97.008	660,114	-
3294	Emergency Measures	97.036	39,073	-
1791	Debris	97.036	3,985,329	-
1791	Public Safety	97.036	1,167,978	-
Total U S Department of Homeland Security			\$ 6,109,927	\$ -
U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
Passed Through TX Department of State Health Services:				
TX 170-2002/75J6006	National School Lunch/Breakfast Program	10.553	\$ 64,385	\$ -
2008-024633	Special Supplemental Food Program for WIC	10.557	1,005,468	-
Total U S Department of Agriculture			\$ 1,069,853	\$ -
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION				
Passed Through TX Secretary of State:				
77465/78900/78645	Help America Vote Act	39.011	\$ 2,266	\$ -
Total General Service Administration			\$ 2,266	\$ -
U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES				
Passed Through Texas Juvenile Probation Commission:				
TJPC-E-2008-170	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	\$ 223,911	\$ -
TJPC-E-2007-170	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	11,366	-
Passed Through Texas Dept of Family and Protective Services:				
23379425	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	16,615	-
23380022 (08)	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	2,495	-
23379429-FY08	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	35,943	-
23379429-FY08	Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	3,618	-
Passed Through TX Department of State Health Services:				
2007-022443	Infectious Disease Surveillance & EPI Branch	92.283	31,302	-
11179T1017817-01	JPO-Family Community Connection	93.243	32,358	-
Total U S Department of Health/Human Services			\$ 357,608	\$ -
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				
Direct:				
2008-AP-BX-0073	State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606	\$ 145,418	\$ -
2005-DJ-BX-0294	Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	451	-
2007-DJ-BX-0176	Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	49,461	-
2007-DJ-BX-K024	Solving Cold Cases With DNA	16.560	83,401	-
Passed Through Sam Houston State University:				
321-20-S032	Community Prosecution/Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	898	-
Passed Through State Criminal Justice Division:				
DC-06-A10-1604008	Drug Court Discretionary Grant-Byrne Formula	16.585	10,793	-
DC-06-A10-1604007	Drug Court Discretionary Grant-Byrne Formula	16.585	93,221	-
DC-08-J20-19475-01	Power Recovery Court-Juvenile Drug Court	16.585	15,102	-
2087001	Power Recovery Court-Juvenile Drug Court	16.585	48,538	-
Total U S Department of Justice			\$ 447,283	\$ -

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

Project Number	Federal Grantor	Federal CFDA #	Grant Funds Expended	Pass-Through Amount to Subrecipients
U S DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Passed Through TX Department of Transportation:				
588XXF5016	State & Community Highway Safety	20.600	\$ 10,260	\$ -
588EGF5084	State & Community Highway Safety	20.600	127,182	-
588EGF5088	Safety Incentives to Prevent Operation of Motor Vehicles by Intoxicated Persons	20.601	27,513	-
0712CONRO	Airport Improvement Program	20.106	6,438,420	-
0612CONRO	Airport Improvement Program	20.106	95,202	-
0512CNROE	Airport Improvement Program	20.106	3,655	-
05CTCONRO	Airport Improvement Program	20.106	1,199,920	-
Total U S Department of Transportation			\$ 7,902,152	\$ -
U S DEPT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT				
B-03-UC-48-0006	Community Development Block Grant	14.218	\$ 159,066	\$ -
B-04-UC-48-0006	Community Development Block Grant	14.218	489,141	-
B-05-UC-48-0006	Community Development Block Grant	14.218	156,969	-
B-06-UC-48-0006	Community Development Block Grant	14.218	84,093	-
B-07-UC-48-0006	Community Development Block Grant	14.218	936,137	274,085
M-03-UC-48-0235	Home Program	14.239	191,698	104,681
M-04-UC-48-0235	Home Program	14.239	117,657	155,720
M-05-UC-48-0235	Home Program	14.239		102,698
M-06-UC-48-0235	Home Program	14.239		2,401
M-07-UC-48-0235	Home Program	14.239	11,194	85,000
Passed Through ORCA:				
DRS0600054	Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery	14.228	271,918	-
Total U S Dept of Housing & Urban Development			\$ 2,417,873	\$ 724,585
STATE DIRECT FUNDING:				
Texas Department of State Health Services:				
2008-024488	Local Public Health System	N/A	\$ 83,295	\$ -
Texas Department of Transportation:				
SA-T01-10059-08	Motor Vehicle Salvage/Theft Reduction Inspn-14	N/A	382,285	-
SA-T01-10059-09	Motor Vehicle Salvage/Theft Reduction Inspn-15	N/A	40,577	-
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality:				
08-16-G08	Solid Waste Implementation	N/A	11,945	-
582255082014.00	Low Income Repair Assistance Program	N/A	1,258,691	-
Texas Juvenile Probation Commission:				
TJPC-X-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	6,759	-
TJPC-X-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	74,958	-
TJPC-A-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	18,213	-
TJPC-A-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	245,965	-
TJPC-P-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	255,919	-
TJPC-P-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	25,336	-
TJPC-Y-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	449,779	-
TJPC-Y-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	43,082	-
TJPC-F-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	92,485	-
TJPC-F-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	7,995	-

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

**MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008**

Project Number	Federal Grantor	Federal CFDA #	Grant Funds Expended	Pass-Through Amount to Subrecipients
TJPC-G-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	34,045	-
TJPC-G-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	4,089	-
TJPC-O-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	24,537	-
TJPC-O-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	2,114	-
TJPC-Z-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	109,725	-
TJPC-W-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	40,210	-
TJPC-W-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	4,724	-
TJPC-H-2008-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	220,902	-
TJPC-H-2009-170	State Financial Assistance Contract	N/A	16,710	-
06-06342	Texas State Office of the Attorney General: Crime Victim Notification Grant (VINE)	N/A	30,108	-
442-08106	Texas State Libraries Archives Commission: Loan Star Libraries Grant	N/A	85,529	-
TFID 212-07-170	Texas Task Force on Indigent Defense: Indigent Defense Services - Formula Grant	N/A	183,766	-
2008-3541	Humanities Texas: Black Texas: A Celebration of Arts	N/A	1,500	-
1892501	Criminal Justice Department: Specialized Investigator for Sexual Assaults	N/A	56,602	-
1892502	Specialized Investigator for Sexual Assaults YR 2	N/A	6,646	-
Total Direct State Funding			\$ 3,818,491	\$ -
GRAND TOTAL ALL FUNDING			\$ 22,125,453	\$ 724,585

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards includes the federal and state grant activity of Montgomery County, Texas. The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The governmental fund types are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. All federal and state grant funds were accounted for in special revenue funds, capital project funds, (components of the governmental fund type), or the general fund. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (i.e. revenues and other financing resources) and decreases (i.e. expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for the governmental fund types. This basis of accounting recognizes revenues in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual (i.e. both measurable and available); and, expenditures in the accounting period in which the fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

Federal and state grant funds are considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant, and accordingly when such funds are received, they are recorded as deferred revenues until earned.

Note 2. Availability of Federal and State Grant Funds

The period of availability for federal and state grant funds for the purpose of liquidation of outstanding obligations made on or before the ending date of the federal or state project period extended 90 days beyond the federal or state project period ending date, in accordance with provisions in Section H. Period of Availability of Federal Funds, Part 3 OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement-March 2008 and Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards.



Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham

Certified Public Accountants • A Professional Corporation

1110 Loop 336 W., 4th Floor
P. O. Box 2548
Conroe, Texas 77305

Members of the
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants
Private Companies Practice Section
of the AICPA Division for Firms

Conroe (936) 756-8127
Fax (936) 756-8132
Houston Metro 936-441-1338

**REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF
FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable County Judge and
Commissioners' Court
Montgomery County, Texas

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Montgomery County, Texas as of and for the year ended September 30, 2008, and we have issued our report thereon dated March 18, 2009. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Commissioners' Court, management, federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham, P.C.
HEREFORD, LYNCH, SELLARS & KIRKHAM, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

Conroe, Texas
March 18, 2009



Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham
Certified Public Accountants • A Professional Corporation

1110 Loop 336 W., 4th Floor
P. O. Box 2548
Conroe, Texas 77305

Members of the
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants
Private Companies Practice Section
of the AICPA Division for Firms

Conroe (936) 756-8127
Fax (936) 756-8132
Houston Metro 936-441-1338

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON
AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable County Judge and
Commissioners' Court
Montgomery County, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Montgomery County, Texas as of and for the year ended September 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 18, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Commissioners' Court, management, federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham, P.C.

HEREFORD, LYNCH, SELLARS & KIRKHAM, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

Conroe, Texas

March 18, 2009



Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham

Certified Public Accountants • A Professional Corporation

1110 Loop 336 W., 4th Floor
P. O. Box 2548
Conroe, Texas 77305

Members of the
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants
Private Companies Practice Section
of the AICPA Division for Firms

Conroe (936) 756-8127
Fax (936) 756-8132
Houston Metro 936-441-1338

**REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO
EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE
IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 AND
TEXAS UNIFORM GRANT MANAGEMENT STANDARDS**

To the Honorable County Judge and
Commissioners' Court
Montgomery County, Texas

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Montgomery County, Texas with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* and Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards that are applicable to each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2008. The County's major federal and state programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal and state programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*; and *Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major programs for the year ended September 30, 2008. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2008-1.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal and state programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2008-1 to be a significant deficiency.

A *material weakness* is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that result in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a material weakness.

The County's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's response, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Commissioners' Court, management, federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hereford, Lynch, Sellars & Kirkham, P.C.
HEREFORD, LYNCH, SELLARS & KIRKHAM, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

Conroe, Texas
June 15, 2009

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS –FEDERAL PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued on the financial statements: Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

 Material weakness(es) identified? No

 Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? No

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

 Material weakness(es) identified? No

 Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? Yes

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes

Identification of major federal programs:

<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
16.585	Drug Court
16.606	State Criminal Alien Assistance Program
20.106	Airport Improvement Program
20.600	State and Community Highway Safety
93.658	Foster Care Title IV-E
97.036	FEMA

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B federal programs \$661,228

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? No

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
*SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS –FEDERAL PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008*

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Current Year:

None noted.

Prior Year:

None noted.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Current Year:

2008-1

Information on the federal program

CFDA No.: 20.106

CFDA Program Title: Airport Improvement Program

Federal Award Year: 9/04 until complete

Name of Pass-Through Entity: Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT)

Condition

The County and TxDOT entered into an Airport Participation Agreement for the development of Lone Star Executive Airport. TxDOT was the party to apply for, receive and disburse funds used. TxDOT assumed the responsibility to assure that all aspects of the grant were done in compliance with state and federal requirements. KPMG performed an audit of the State of Texas, including TxDOT, and issued a significant non-compliance finding regarding suspension and debarment.

Criteria or Specific Requirements

Non-Federal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making sub-awards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred. Covered transactions include those procurement contracts that are expected to equal or exceed \$25,000.

Context

The audit finding does not represent an isolated instance. However, KPMG auditors did review the EPLS website and determine that none of the professional services contractors were suspended or debarred.

Effect

KPMG auditors found nine (9) construction contracts contained the necessary language (language noting vendor is not suspended or debarred). None of the forty-five (45) professional services contracts tested included the necessary language. In addition, TxDOT did not check the EPLS website or obtain any certification from professional services contracts to verify the contractors were not excluded from federal contracts.

Cause

The County relied on TxDOT to assure that all aspects of compliance were being followed.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS –FEDERAL PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

Recommendations

The County should request additional grant compliance assurance during the course of pass-through contracts for which they are sub-recipients. The County should also monitor all aspects of compliance with grant contracts for with it is involved.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective action

See corrective action plan section.

Prior Year:

2006-1:

During our audit, we noted that the County has not met the timeliness requirement of its Community Development Block Grant.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Current Year:

2008-1

TxDOT has implemented a corrective action plan effective February 2009 resulting from their audit for the year ended August 31, 2008. A certification clause in the professional services contracts executed for local airport sponsors requires the contractor to attest that the provider is not debarred from federal contracts. Additionally, TxDOT has initiated an additional step in their contract checklist to verify that vendors are not on the debarment list on the EPLS website for both construction and professional services contracts. As additional monitoring of the contract, the County will execute its own verification by accessing the debarment list on the EPLS website and request written proof of TxDOT's adherence to their policy.

Prior Year:

2006-1

The finding of non-compliance with the Community Development Block Grant timeliness requirement was based on the County's failure to expend an aggregate amount of funds (as determined by HUD) by a certain date (August 1st). There appears to be three reasons for this failure:

1. The County created a plan that allocated the CDBG budget into larger categories.
2. Several of the projects were delayed, thereby delaying the expenditure of funds.
3. The Community Development Administrator for the County did not communicate the severity of the situation to other County officials who may have been able to assist.

Although the County continues to allocate its CDBG funds into only a few large projects, County personnel are now more aware of the need to be vigilant as to the timing of the expenditures. The County hired a new Director of Community Development in May 2007, (the next date the timeliness test was performed). She has involved the County Auditor, the Director of Infrastructure and several Commissioners in the process. This major goal was successfully accomplished and HUD has acknowledged the County's compliance.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – STATE PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued on the financial statements:	Unqualified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	No
Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	No
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	No

State Awards

Internal control over major programs:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	No
Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	No
Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards?	No
Identification of major state programs:	
<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	<u>Name of State Program or Cluster</u>
N/A	State Financial Assistance Contract
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B state programs	\$300,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – STATE PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Current Year:

None noted.

Prior Year:

None noted.

SECTION III – STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Current Year:

None noted.

Prior Year:

None noted.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – STATE PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

None noted.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Not Applicable.